R (E6)

Gilpatric

A. Need to do more on non-proliferation

(1) [redacted] Continue on prudent present line — not enough

(2) [redacted] Make positive effort to hold line (where it is)

(3) [redacted] Drastic approach —

Make nuclear less attractive

Central European guarantees

Slow up French program

Rollback the Chinese

B. [redacted] Staff prepare paper with pros and cons on these three courses

Course 2 — accompany MLForth assurance to Swiss and non-acq. by Germany

Amending 1958 act

Really act consistently with anti-prol

(Course -1 - additional)

New course — relax and enjoy it, selectively don't interfere with proliferation

9200777

DEPARTMENT OF STATE IS/FPC/CDR JBD Date: 2/6/96

(X) RELEASE ( ) DECLASSIFY
( ) EXCISE ( ) DECLASSIFY IN PART
( ) DENY
( ) DELETE Non-Responsive Info
FOIA Exemptions _____

MR Cases Only:
EO Citations _____

_____ TS authority to:
( ) CLASSIFY as ( ) S or ( ) C OADR
( ) DOWNGRADE TS to ( ) S or ( ) C OADR

1. Not enough to set objectives, need to weigh (a) effective means, and (b) effects on other objectives.

e.g. Non-prolif. not only objective. (Kill all ties with France because our views change and French don't buy our view of best ~~[illegible]~~ means of meeting French security.

e.g. May favor comp. ban, but need prepare for Congressional acceptance — ∴ need military balance assessment, as well as improvements in verification and detection

2. Don't close out options until we see whole policy program.

(Issues Point #1 just the extreme) (but two examples: (a) France (b) India)

e.g. don't close out ~~[illegible]~~ even delivery means if that the price for really avoiding national nuclear path — India possibly

e.g. ABM's versus N[illegible] countries

e.g. Consider possible nuclear "bonds" in Asia, with pre training, possibly with transportable MRBMs

e.g. Weigh pros and cons ~~[illegible]~~ on peaceful nuclear and missile technology.

3. Kellogg-Briand non-prolif. or Int. ban not necessarily the best answer, especially in isolation.

(TB escape clause) India may sign now, but...

(Cost to Western Alliance if W. Ger. pushed on non-acq. without MLF-equivalent, whether or not Germany signed — possibly Franco-German alliance)

4. Don't use sanctions — a fortiori military attack — against Allies, even such recalcitrant ones as France (Also "US umbrella" commitment need not be absolute) on other side,

---

MLF — (1) Foy & Tommy on Sus non-prol. after MLF decided either way

(2) Ger. non-acq. position tied to success of MLF