(7)

R (E60A)

Ball – ~~[illegible]~~ reply to Dulles –
Need give something to get them to give up –
but [illegible] Kellogg-Briand

Dean – would we be [illegible] blank check to India to do whatever it wanted

S – Agree diff. chain of problem –
I don't favor one-way US guarantee alliance, we need conditions. But then not very satisfying to India.

Ball – may force them to build bombs

Dean – Suppose Japan & India sign, then later ask aid when other threaten and we didn't credibility our commitments down down

S – OK where we have alliance, not other.

McCloy – do you see complete Indian change from Nehru [illegible]

S – present policy not on diff. – [illegible] Kashmir, [illegible], [illegible]
Indians already working on first stages prop. for [illegible] if they later decide do so
More [illegible] to circumstances than leadership

McCloy – we talk about price of prolif.
[illegible] about price of [illegible] alliance
[illegible] – [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] signs from ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] & [illegible]
What can we do to stop dis'nt. of alliance?
Is MLF out? McN. would give 50% at most, maybe 25%
We must lead, not with [illegible] [illegible].

9200777

DEPARTMENT OF STATE
[X] RELEASE ( ) DECLASSIFY
( ) EXCISE ( ) DECLASSIFY
( ) DENY IN PART
( ) DELETE Non-Responsive Info
FOIA Exemptions _____
Exemptions _____

IS/FPC/CDR JBD Date: 2/6/96
MR Cases Only:
EO Citations _____

( ) CLASSIFY as _____ TS authority to:
( ) DOWNGRADE TS to ( ) S or ( ) C OADR
( ) S or ( ) C OADR

S. — Idea they look on us to lead is phoney. They want us to lead in diff. directions. Secure — no pressure from EE. Luxury of playing around by other obj.

On MLF, was attempt to respond to Ger view something needed — 1960-61 full year wait. After Nassau, we said OK will show a way. — No opposition, serious interest. Home saying privately would go along after election. We wait for along w/out real objections in Alliance. Then in Oct. 64 Fr. got worried, and Scand. hardened.

Quai ~~[illegible]~~ leaked to press idea coordinate SAC — ANF — Tod F.

G — Wolber objected to that (of course, we had no [illegible])

Italy wants special role w/ Ger in Directorate of E.

I don't think MLF that critical to alliance vis-a-vis East

McCloy — If not MLF we need something to keep West Community

Not like dropping MLF to suit Sovs & France.

S — next will be Italy & Ger, then with UK, then with us

Essential to present to Congress as unifying measure in Alliance

Erhard & Wilson this month.

More maneuver in UK position than has been shown so far

Strong impetus to do something with

ANF — prob. control opn, UK wants veto for all principal,

Ger don't want UK veto

UK will come thru on particip. in MLF component

Ger gov't doesn't want to give SPD any chance for credit

McCloy — concerned Ger — etc — UK without us

S — we'll be in deep, but we must come back from being out in f[illegible]

(9)

Perkins — Any comment on relation all this to Gr reunif?

S — MLF would not stand in way of
1) Gr. reunif
2) Unity of Ew
3) Disarmament

Gilp. — When time to broach approaches to Sovs —
before or after March talks?

S — talked with Sovs.
Ongoing — we can do at any time as appropriate.

S — Concerned about erosion of atmospheric TB.

McCloy — Wheeler indicated JCS too many oppose
Comprehensive TB

S — don't see urgency of comp TB with Fr & China
proceeding
Sovs don't yield on inspec. — don't care about tech
Sovs could test in China — two total it. states
can always get together quickly
Not sure it would give major gain to non-prolif.

Clare)
T. to chair — wait & see if March mtg occurs ~~[illegible]~~
Gilp — interp. Moscow displeasure at State of Union
T — most behavior conditioned on plans for March mtg
~~For~~ 5 things come first for Sov leadership over rel w/ West.
1) consolidate power
2) crucial internal problems
3) Bloc other than China
4) China
5) CPC [illegible]